the Defender®
CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS

Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!

04/02/24 • BIG PHARMA › NEWS

'Shocking Cover-up': DOJ Lawyers Committed Fraud in Vaccine Injury Case, CHD Attorney Alleges in Motion Filed Today

Rolf Hazlehurst, a Children's Health Defense staff attorney and father of a son diagnosed with autism, today filed a motion in federal court alleging lawyers representing the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services fraudulently concealed and misrepresented evidence that vaccines can cause autism.

By **Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.**

32



Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

Rolf Hazlehurst, a Children's Health Defense (CHD) staff attorney and father of a son with autism, today filed a motion in federal court alleging lawyers representing the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) fraudulently concealed evidence that vaccines can cause autism.

In a motion filed in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Hazlehurst alleged that U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) lawyers who represented HHS in vaccine injury cases repeatedly defrauded the judicial system — from the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (NVICP) to the U.S. Supreme Court.

That fraud led to thousands of families of vaccine-injured children being denied the right to compensation and the right to have their cases heard, according to the motion.

"This motion makes very serious and well-substantiated allegations of a massive scheme of fraud on the courts," said Kim Mack Rosenberg, CHD general counsel who also is of counsel to Hazlehurst in the federal case.

"The evidence submitted in support of the motion clearly shows that attorneys from the Department of Justice concealed and misrepresented highly relevant information from the special masters in the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program and the judges in the courts," Mack Rosenberg told The Defender.

Hazlehurst's son Yates regressed into autism after being vaccinated as an infant. In the early 2000s, his family and thousands of others filed cases seeking compensation for vaccine-induced autism through the NVICP.

The program consolidated all of the petitions into the Omnibus Autism Proceeding (OAP) and selected six representative "test cases" — of which Yates' was the second — as the basis for determining the outcome of the remaining 5,400 cases.

Unbeknownst at the time to the petitioners and the NVICP special masters, the DOJ's star expert medical witness, Dr. Andrew Zimmerman informed DOJ attorneys during the ongoing omnibus proceedings that he had reversed his original opinion and determined that vaccines can and do cause autism in some cases.

In what Hazlehurst alleges was "a shocking cover-up," instead of allowing Zimmerman to share his revised opinion, the DOJ attorneys relieved Zimmerman of his duties as a witness.

However, they continued to use excerpts from his unamended written opinion to make their case that vaccines did not cause autism — misrepresenting his position and committing "fraud on the court."



"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

According to the motion, the DOJ's first act of fraud snowballed into a scheme of deception with far-reaching implications in which DOJ attorneys repeatedly misrepresented Zimmerman's opinion and concealed other evidence that emerged during the test case hearings in the OAP in subsequent cases before multiple courts.

"As a result, thousands of cases in the Omnibus Autism Proceeding were denied compensation and the impact beyond the OAP is enormous," Mack Rosenberg said. "This fraud affected the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program — especially the Omnibus Autism Proceeding — the Court of Federal Claims, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and even the U.S. Supreme Court."

Hazlehurst said he is "asking the court to give this motion the serious attention it deserves." He added, "At a minimum, the court should allow discovery and hold a hearing on this motion."

Overturning a ruling due to fraud on the court is an extraordinary remedy reserved for extraordinary cases but according to Hazlehurst, "This motion we filed shows that this indeed is an extraordinary case."

The DOJ has until April 30 to respond to the motion.

CHD CEO Mary Holland told The Defender, "Vaccines most definitely do cause autism, and the government has been lying about this reality for decades."

Holland added:

> "With others, I published a law review article in 2011 showing that the government absolutely knew that vaccines cause autism — and yet they have covered it up and lied about it since the inception of the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.
>
> "How many hundreds of thousands of children and families would have been spared the heartaches and crushing financial burdens of autism had the government come clean?"

'Exceptionally difficult' to obtain compensation through NVICP

In the late 1980s, a substantial number of lawsuits for vaccine injuries related to Wyeth's (now Pfizer) DPT vaccine, combined with "grossly insufficient compensation" for victims of vaccine injury, threatened the vaccine program's viability.

In response, Congress passed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, which established the "vaccine court." The law gave the pharmaceutical industry broad protection from liability and proposed to compensate vaccine-injured children through the new NVICP.

The NVICP originally was designed to be a "swift, flexible, and less adversarial alternative to the often costly and lengthy civil arena of traditional tort litigation."

To receive compensation, parents file a claim with the program.

The Court of Federal Claims (which oversees the program) appoints "special masters" — typically lawyers who previously represented the U.S. government — to manage and decide the individual claims. Attorneys may represent the petitioners, and the DOJ represents HHS.

NVICP proceedings are more informal than a typical courtroom. Unlike regular court proceedings, petitioners in the "vaccine court" have no right to discovery.

If a petitioner files a claim for a vaccine covered under the program and listed on the Vaccine Injury Table — the list of known vaccine side effects associated with certain vaccines within set time frames — it is presumed that a vaccine caused the petitioner's injury and the petitioner is eligible for compensation without proof of causation.

However, if a petitioner experiences an "off-table injury" — an injury not listed on the table or that didn't happen in the recognized injury time frame — the petitioner must prove by "a preponderance of evidence" that the vaccine caused the injury. Evidence includes medical records and expert witness testimony.

Claims must be filed within three years of the first symptom or two years of death.

Petitioners must provide a medical theory of the cause, a sequence of cause and effect, and show a temporal relationship between vaccine and injury.

However, the NVICP does not specify the required volume and type of evidence, so meeting the "preponderance of evidence" standard is largely at the discretion of the special master.

Petitioners can appeal NVICP cases to the Court of Federal Claims, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and ultimately to the U.S. Supreme Court.

It is "exceptionally difficult" to obtain compensation within the NVICP, Hazlehurst told The Defender. The proceedings are often turned into drawn-out, contentious expert battles and the backlog of cases is substantial.

The Vaccine Act of 1986 is unjust for petitioners, Hazlehurst alleges. And that injustice reached its zenith with the OAP, when the DOJ perpetrated fraud right under the noses of the special masters, signaling the beginning of the fraud on the courts that continues to this day.

Hazlehurst told The Defender he hopes his motion will shed light on the damage inflicted by this law and that it will ultimately help end the autism epidemic.

"The Vaccine Act of 1986 is one of the fundamental causes of the autism epidemic," Hazlehurst said. "Understanding why this is true, and how the United States Department of Justice perpetrated fraud upon the courts, including the Supreme Court of the United States, is the key to ending the autism epidemic."

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's *New* Book: "Vax-Unvax"
ORDER NOW

A short history of the autism omnibus proceedings

By 2002, to address a "massive influx" of petitions alleging vaccine-induced autism, the Office of Special Masters combined over 5,000 claims into the OAP to determine whether vaccines cause autism and if so, under what conditions.

Initially, the NVICP planned to investigate causation issues and apply those general findings to individual cases. However, the program changed its strategy and instead selected six "test cases" by which it would examine the evidence for injuries caused by the measles mumps rubella (MMR) vaccine, thimerosal-containing vaccines (TCV), or a combination of both.

Then it would apply the findings of the test cases to other similar cases.

In doing so, Hazlehurst alleges, the court conflated general causation evidence with specific causation evidence from a few cases, without allowing for rules of discovery or evidence that would apply in an actual court.

This, Hazlehurst said, "was a recipe for disaster" as each test case was then used to determine the outcome for the remaining 5,000 cases.

Three cases — Cedillo v. HHS, Hazlehurst v. HHS and Poling v. HHS — are at the center of the alleged fraud by the DOJ.

Fraud #1: the Zimmerman testimony

Hearings for the first OAP test case, Cedillo v. HHS, began in 2007. Zimmerman had worked with the DOJ to prepare an expert report on behalf of HHS finding that Michelle Cedillo's autism had likely not been caused by the MMR vaccine.

Zimmerman later wrote in a 2018 affidavit that he attended the Cedillo hearing and listened to the testimony of Dr. Marcel Kinsbourne, another world-renowned expert in pediatric neurology.

On that basis, Zimmerman stated, he decided to clarify his written expert opinion about Michelle Cedillo, concerned it would be taken out of context.

Zimmerman spoke with DOJ attorneys to clarify that his expert opinion in the Cedillo case "was not intended to be a blanket statement as to all children and all medical science," according to the 2018 affidavit.

He specified that advances in science, medicine and his own clinical research had led him to believe there were exceptions in which vaccinations could cause autism.

He also referred the attorneys to a paper he published with colleagues in 2006, the Poling paper, describing the case of an unidentified child who suffered regressive autism following vaccine adverse reactions. The paper suggested a possible association between mitochondrial dysfunction, vaccinations and regressive autism.

After communicating this evidence to DOJ attorneys, the DOJ dismissed Zimmerman as a witness but continued to use his written opinion as general causation evidence.

The DOJ was also allowed to use that report, submitted in one test case, as general causation evidence in other test cases.

None of the petitioners in the test cases could cross-examine Zimmerman, because he was no longer a witness. This was only possible because the federal rules of evidence do not apply in NVICP proceedings.

Yates' case, Hazlehurst v. HHS, was the second test case in the OAP. His treating neurologist, Dr. Jean-Ronel Corbier testified Yates' autism was likely caused by a genetic predisposition combined with an environmental insult in the form of vaccinations administered when Yates was ill. (Yates was a patient of Zimmerman in 2002.)

Corbier's theory of causation in Yates was similar to the theory developed by Zimmerman in the Poling paper and shared with DOJ attorneys.

Yet, despite knowing Zimmerman had concluded that in a subset of children like Yates, vaccines can cause autism, the DOJ "intentionally and fraudulently" misrepresented Zimmerman's expert testimony in its closing statements in Yates' case, Hazlehurst alleges.

DOJ attorneys selectively quoted Zimmerman's expert report from the Cedillo case, telling the court that Zimmerman found there was "no sound evidence to support a causative relationship with exposure to both or either MMR and/or mercury," when Zimmerman had explicitly told the DOJ that his opinion was the opposite, according to the affidavit.

Fraud #2: the Hannah Poling case

Three weeks after closing arguments in Yates' case, the DOJ quietly conceded Hannah Poling's case, which was on the verge of becoming the fourth test case.

Hannah regressed into autism over several months after being vaccinated against nine diseases at one doctor's visit.

In 2003, Poling's father, Jon, a physician and trained neurologist, and mother, Terry, an attorney and nurse, filed an autism petition against HHS under the NVICP for their daughter's injuries.

Jon Poling was a co-author of the 2006 paper with Zimmerman that analyzed an unnamed child, later revealed as Hannah Poling, who had a mitochondrial disorder — a condition with which Yates was later diagnosed.

In 2007, just three weeks after the lead DOJ attorney misrepresented Zimmerman's opinion during the hearing in Hazlehurst, the same DOJ attorney submitted a report to the special masters conceding that in the case of Poling v. HHS, Hannah's "regressive encephalopathy with features of autism spectrum disorder" (i.e., regressive autism) was caused by a vaccine injury, based upon a preponderance of the evidence standard.

This was the same neurological diagnosis Zimmerman had made for Yates in 2002.

According to court documents, if HHS had not conceded Poling, Poling v. HHS would have been designated as a test case. However, because the DOJ conceded the case, it was taken out of the omnibus and the DOJ had the case records sealed —- although they were later leaked to the press and published in the Huffington Post in 2008.

In March 2008, Hannah's parents moved to make the proceedings transparent and available to the public, but the DOJ opposed the motion and the NVICP deferred a ruling on the motion for 60 days.

During those 60 days, the DOJ filed amendments to its report conceding the Poling case. It retroactively changed the basis for compensation to say that Hannah had a "table injury."

This meant that instead of conceding that the petitioners had proven with a preponderance of evidence that the vaccines caused her autism, they said she had a presumptive injury on the vaccine table, in which causation is presumed.

By conceding the Poling case, opposing the parents' motion for complete transparency and changing the basis for compensation, the DOJ was able to conceal fraud and critical material evidence of how vaccines cause autism, according to Hazlehurst.

Your support helps fund this work, and CHD's related advocacy, education and scientific research.
DONATE NOW

Fraud #3: appellate courts and the U.S. Supreme Court

On Feb. 12, 2009, the special masters denied compensation in the first three cases. They found the petitioners failed to establish causation between MMR or TCV vaccines and autism.

In Hazlehurst's case, the NVICP explicitly relied on the portion of Zimmerman's expert report that DOJ attorneys misrepresented.

The Hazlehursts appealed to the Court of Federal Claims and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, both of which upheld the special master's decision — by relying on Zimmerman's misrepresented opinion and knowingly fraudulent statements made by a DOJ attorney, according to Hazlehurst.

Those prior decisions directly influenced the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in the Bruesewitz v. Wyeth.

In that case, Wyeth, now Pfizer, argued that a decision favoring the Bruesewitz family — who was attempting to sue the company for their daughter's vaccine injury — would lead to a "flood of frivolous lawsuits," including by the families from the omnibus.

Amicus briefs from the American Academy of Pediatrics, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck and Sanofi Pasteur on behalf of Wyeth relied on Hazlehurst v. HHS and other OAP decisions that were based on the misrepresentation of Zimmerman's testimony that there was "no scientific basis" that vaccines cause autism.

The Supreme Court ruled that the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, and the NVICP it created, preempt all design-defect claims against vaccine manufacturers by individuals seeking compensation for injury or death.

In oral arguments and in their written opinions, the justices explicitly cited the portions of the amicus briefs citing Hazlehurst v. HHS and other OAP rulings that relied on the DOJ misrepresentations in their rulings.

Since that ruling, the special masters have continued to rely on the DOJ's fraudulent claims to deny compensation to families filing complaints in the NVICP.

Robert F. Kennedy Jr., CHD chairman on leave, and Hazlehurst in September 2018 filed a complaint with the DOJ Office of Inspector General outlining what they then knew about the DOJ's fraud during the OAP.

The DOJ Office of Professional Misconduct investigated and responded in a June 2019 letter that it found no wrongdoing.

In that letter, however, the Office of Professional Responsibility conceded the DOJ had in fact kept Zimmerman's testimony while dismissing him as a witness in order to avoid creating the appearance that he had changed his opinion and to prevent the petitioners from cross-examining him, according to Hazlehurst.

The 'fraud on the court' doctrine

It has taken 17 years, Hazlehurst said, since the DOJ's first alleged act of fraud upon the court, for him to gather all of the admissible evidence necessary to "connect the dots and reveal the DOJ's web of deceit" to make this claim under the "fraud on the court" doctrine.

Under this doctrine, codified as Rule 60(d)(3) in the rules of the Court of Federal Claims, there is no time limit for the court to overturn a judgment made on the basis of fraud on the court.

The petitioner must demonstrate that there was fraud, intent to defraud and that the fraud affected more than one instance of litigation — putting the integrity of the judicial process at stake.

Hazlehurst alleges DOJ attorneys committed fraud by knowingly making false statements and offering evidence they knew to be false and that they did not take remedial action to disclose information they knew to be false and misleading to the court.

The special masters themselves have an obligation to consider all relevant evidence, but didn't, in this case, Hazlehurst said. Instead, they ignored the contradictions in Zimmerman's opinions and ignored the Poling evidence.

This is particularly problematic for NVICP cases, where petitioners can't conduct meaningful discovery or cross-examination and the special masters' oversight is the only meaningful safeguard to prevent the DOJ's abuse of power, according to Hazlehurst.

"There is nothing fair about a government proceeding where the government controls the admissibility of evidence," he said.

Hazlehurst said that by forcing people injured by vaccines into an administrative program, petitioners are deprived of the basic constitutional rights to due process and equal protection under the law. "It should be declared unconstitutional," he said.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.
Brenda Baletti, Ph.D., is a senior reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 10 years in the writing program at Duke University. She holds a Ph.D. in human geography from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

32 Comments
1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…
LOG IN WITH
OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?
Name

♡ 2 • Share
Best Newest Oldest

Ricky Ricardo
22 days ago edited
Shocking for whom? These evil minions are liars and deceivers...always have been - always will be! For me; this is not only predictable; but expected.
19 0 Reply

Kevin Bradley → Ricky Ricardo
21 days ago
Same here, but at this incredibly late stage we are still supposed to be painfully gullible, I guess. Anything to avoid facing harsh reality.
1 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo → Kevin Bradley
19 days ago
Personally; I prefer "harsh reality" to obliviousness, which would seem to apply to the majority of the population. I've asked many people, what I think would be "common knowledge" (uncommon knowledge - similar to uncommon sense!) questions and they have no clue of what I'm talking about!
1 0 Reply

Kim from Brooklyn → Ricky Ricardo
16 days ago
One must factor in the ones that are held sheltered by their spouses, religions, etc. I have met so many that are "protected" from the harsh realities of the world. Talking to them about current events is like speaking another language, literally.
1 0 Reply

Ricky Ricardo → Kim from Brooklyn
13 days ago
The mainstream media and the "educational" system are mostly to blame for brainwashing, conditioning, dumbing down and indoctrinating the masses.
0 0 Reply

Kim from Brooklyn → Kevin Bradley
16 days ago
At this point, they are counting on the stupid ones to fulfill their fantasies.
0 0 Reply

r2bzjudge
22 days ago
"There is nothing fair about a government proceeding where the government controls the admissibility of evidence," he said.

It's not a department of justice either, is it? It's not a public health agency either, is it? The CDC apparently holds dozens of vaccine patents. An inherent conflict of interest. The DOJ has an inherent conflict of interest, as it is representing government agencies against the American people, who are generally in the dark about what is actually going on. From the start we were kept totally in the dark about the Covid shots, as injuries were being covered up by the pharma companies, public health agencies, hospitals and doctors, due to their vested interests. The same applies to and is not exclusive to the issue of autism and vaccines.
15 0 Reply

Isthisthingon → r2bzjudge
22 days ago
FOIAs disclosed that the Convid Stabs were never tested for "preventing the spread/transmissibility"...Governments all over the world completely Propagandized, terrorized even, the People; outright lying insisting that everyone needed to get the stabs in order to not kill grandma! We were Othered for using our Rights to choose not to be Experimented On! The President of the USA was even on the TV Othering and declaring that he was losing patients with all of us who completely saw through the CON!

Denying Natural/Acquired Immunity was Criminal! --which has been known to be Superior since 430 BC! Pregnant women lining up to get stabbed when they were not even represented in the trials! Totally Insane! Then the Trials themselves were Dead On Arrival as the perps offered the stabs to the placebo group! -ya know, out of good conscience.

So much Evidence of Crimes Against Humanity! A Preponderance of Evidence even!
6 0 Reply

Laura Pieters
22 days ago
I'm hopeful something comes of this but not surprised if once again it fails. I'm very thankful for the people willing to take on these very difficult arguments. As they say, the truth always comes out. I'm ready for that day!
14 0 Reply

Keith Bright → Laura Pieters
20 days ago
Once you lost your health thats it..look at King Charles and Princess Kate.The calling them turbo cancers
1 0 Reply

José Di Stefano
22 days ago
certainly we urgently need justice to act. There is enough evidence of alll the injuries these vaccines are causing to people
7 0 Reply

BJNeal
21 days ago
With recent stats of 1 out or every 20 kids getting autism, this "created illness" has even larger ramifications than ever! These children may out live their parents. Then who will take care of them? The evidence seems so easily and specifically connected to the vaccines. It's time to make pharma RESPONSIBLE for their actions. They no longer serve the population, are causing harm and are poisoning those that use their products. How can the current "holding harmless" continue to make sense. Risk far outweighs Benefit. Such a joke!
6 0 Reply

GS
22 days ago
No wonder that they want to ban the 2nd amendment.

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News
Did the WHO Water Down Its 'Pandemic Treaty'? Not Really, Experts Say
'A Dangerous Vaccine for a Nothing Disease': U.S. Stockpiling Bird Flu Vaccines
WHO, Media Drum Up Fear of Bird Flu Spreading to Humans
MORE NEWS

Latest Views
How What You Eat May Change Your Unborn Children's Genes — and Affect Their Health
'Corporate Greed' to Blame for Soaring Food Prices, FTC Report Says
Australia's Public Broadcaster Partners With Trusted News Initiative to 'Protect Public' From 'Misinformation'
MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender
123-456-7890
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

👍 3 👎 0 Reply

BH387 → GS
21 days ago

As well as having effectively nullified the first wherever their tentacles can blot out exercises of it they don't like.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply

Gary Brown
22 days ago

AUGUST 14 2022 PfizerGate: Official Government Reports prove Hundreds of Thousands of People are dying every single week due to Covid-19 Vaccination

Waiting times for ambulances are at an all-time high. The number of emergency calls due to people suffering cardiac arrest is at an all-time high. The number of people dying is at an all-time high, with hundreds of thousands of excess deaths occurring around the world every single week.

https://expose-news.com/202...

see more

👍 3 👎 0 Reply

BH387 → Gary Brown
21 days ago

The article is way out of date. Later info is evidently censored.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Donna Sheehan
21 days ago

Why is hepatitis B on children's vaccine list? Yes, have to have how many shots of this by 6 months? Is that bc they're sexual active or intravenous drug use? Who runs America? Not our politicians, but corporations who bought these politicians to keep their gravy train going. Would love to have a company whose product is exempt from liability and mandated by the government 🤔 No consequences for intentionally killing us therefore No incentive to change.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply

tracychess
22 days ago

Our current medical systems have no standard to establish that a case of autism was, or was not caused by a vaccine. Neither do our current legal systems.

Our current medical systems do not study cause on a case by case basis. Most medical jornals only accept statistical evidence and refuse to publish "case studies." All individual cases are stories, anecdotes, therefore "anecdotal evidence" which "cannot be trusted."

Causes are studied so statistically, but not "actually," in all cases of injury and disease.

This also applies to all cases of CURED. This is essential to maintain the integrity of the bureaucracy. Imagine what might happen to the system if we were able to prove a case where in unapproved treatment, like Ivermectin, or Vitamin D, cured a case of COVID. The beuracratic dogma is currently so powerful that no current medical text refers to Vitamin C as a.cure for scurvy. Instead, it's the recommended "treatment." Cancer "cures" are calculated with "cure rate" formulas, which are so entirely statistical that no case presumed to be cured in a cure rate calculation can be proven cured. There are no medical tests of cured for most diseases, much less independent tests of "cured by."

And the same is true of - not just autism, but all vaccine injuries. It is not possible to prove any injury was caused by a vaccine.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply

irishtap → tracychess
21 days ago

That is patently false. The very reason for establishment of VAERS, was due to the fact vaccine manufacturers were being sued in courts of law for vaccine injury and losing often. So often in fact, that vaccine companies warned Congress 'at hearing in 1986, they were going to phase out production, due to the high cost of litigation. President Reagan, in attendance at the meeting posed the obvious question: "Why don't you just make them safe?" Answer: "We can't, because they're inherently unsafe." These people are fully cognizant of the harm they've caused and continue to impart unspeakable injuries to innocent children. Your argument has no merit whatsoever.

👍 3 👎 0 Reply

r2bzjudge → tracychess
21 days ago

Brenda McDowell took her triplets in for a wellness visit at 9 months, which included vaccination. Within 24 hours all three exhibited signs of autism.
The first shut down within 2 hours, "as if she was blind and deaf," according to Brenda. There was no question that the vaccination was the cause of the autism, since the lot was recalled two weeks later, as being contaminated. A child from another family, died. The McDowell's were told they could not sue the vaccine maker, but were not told about the government vaccine court and learned of it 1 1/2 years too late to file a case.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Mayfield
18 days ago

we were part of omnibus too. not receiving support following the vaccine/autism event was devastating to our lives and still is.

Good luck Rolf, Yates, and family - you are not alone

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Kris "Kris" A
21 days ago

This is despicable, debilitating autism and as they grow older into adulthood, they don't even offer service or even educated doctors. The stigma of autism and the cruelty of doctors "chemically and physically restrain" our children and then cover up their mistakes by falsifying their medical records, just blows my mind. My daughter continues to suffer as we have been in Ventura county fighting superior court for our own daughter, ripping families apart and taking our children with autism and isolating them and using them as sex slaves, this place has so much corruption the greediness of our DOJ system as they Judge us without any education in autism, my daughter has spoken all her life, she can no longer speak due to Public Guardian neglect!

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

BH387
21 days ago edited

Unconstitutional actions and fraud have become the norm. But however much it's rigged, it's unsustainable. Small wonder if indeed the tyrants are building bunkers. But if they really want to exist in luxurious prisons, it may turn out to be a Monkey's Paw wish.

As a side note, my over/under was 1 in 7 kids being "on the spectrum" when the house of cards falls. Maybe I was a bit pessimistic about the power of mass false belief. Here's hoping!

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Jerry Alatalo
21 days ago

"The evidence submitted in support of the motion clearly shows that attorneys from the Department of Justice concealed and misrepresented highly relevant information from the special masters in the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program and the judges in the courts," Mack Rosenberg told The Defender.

*

While the layman's knowledge of the law is limited, isn't it reasonable to suggest that what's being described represents "Obstruction of justice"?

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

MDskeptic
21 days ago

Wanted to comment but everyone before me has said all I wished to say!

👍 1 👎 0 Reply

Paul Hastilow
15 days ago

Any "Cherry picking" of "Evidence for or Against" the Truth' must be investigated. A Clear and Decisive Decision must be written into the "Statutes Book".
There can be "NoExcuse from Overzealous Attorneys" Manipulating statements
To support the False Narrative of "Cause and Effect".
We want "Truth & Honesty" for Innocent Victims…..?
Not Reduced Compensation for Big pHarma due to a Technicality of "New Speak".
What DOJ are Guilty of Here is, Despicable Delinquent Deceit……! FRAUD of the worst Order.!….The Swamp is Awash with corrupt Serpents…!

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

brbg
15 days ago

I wish the researcher would step up who was tasked with finding out if there was a connection between the MMR vaccine and autism. The report definitely showed a connection. The researcher was then ordered to destroy all research materials. The superior who gave that order then took a job with the FDA. There is no justice when it comes to dangerous vaccines and the money involved. Wish I had taken down the name of the researcher. I'm guessing a resignation followed.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

tony
16 days ago

Read the book: Government Gangsters by Kash Patel. It will change the way you perceive the government forever - and not in a good way :(

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

Dariel Monley
17 days ago

I wish to complement you, Brenda, for this wonderful article on the case about the fraud perpetrated by the DOJ attorneys. You made a complicated crime understandable to lay people like myself. Thank you. BUT--I must say how unhappy I am with your commenting process. I am a member of CHD and have nothing but good things to say but I am continually stymied by your sign in to comment process. :((((

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

el Gallinazo
17 days ago

Actually, no fraud was committed. Fraud requires deception. The demonic institutions which were purportedly "defrauded" at their top levels were fully well aware of the intentional autism/vaccine connection.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

José Di Stefano
17 days ago

YES!!! We desperately need justice.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

Rocky Balboa
19 days ago

We were in the Omnibus as well. If attorney Hazelhurst is successful will the Special Masters either grant compensation to us for our child or at least allow another opportunity to resubmit the Claim?

👍 0 👎 0 Reply

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

Children's Health Defense • Legal Disclaimer • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Donate • About Us • Work for CHD • Contact • Social • Membership Login & FAQ

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap